Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi

MINUTES OF THE 463rd RGP MEETING OF NMA

Venue – Takshila Conference Hall, NMA

Date & Time- 12th & 13th December 2024 at 11.30 AM onward on both days

******

The meeting was attended by the following:

1. Prof. Kishor K. Basa, Chairman, NMA- ***in the Chair***
2. Shri T.N. Tiwari, Whole Time Member, NMA
3. Shri Hemraj R. Kamdar, PTM, NMA (Virtually attended)
4. Shri Bhaskar Verma, Member Secretary, NMA
5. Col. Savyasachi Marwaha, Director, NMA

Agenda 01

The minutes of 462nd meeting were confirmed.

Agenda 02

POWER POINT PRESENTATION CASES

Case No.:01

(Principal Punjab Govt. Dental College and Hospital Amritsar, SSSS Chowk Majitha Road, Amritsar, Punjab - 143001)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case with the following observations.

a) The maximum height of the proposed building should be restricted up to 20.00 Mtrs (inclusive all) with a single basement of depth not exceeding 04.00 mtrs. The revised building plan should duly mention the floor area and should be stamped and signed by the licensed architect.

b) The Property documents establishing the ownership are not enclosed.

c) The Enclosed Self-declaration is not as per NMA format (available on NMA website)

The applicant may re-submit the revised proposal through Competent Authority, Punjab after complying with the above observations.

Case No.:02

(The Executive Officer, Erumbeeswarar Temple, the Executive Officer A/M Erumbeeswarar Temple, Thiruverumbur, Trichy, Tamil Nadu -13)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the Superintending Archaeologist, ASI Trichy Circle to carry out a joint inspection with the applicant to explore a suitable alternative site beyond the prohibited area of the Centrally Protected Monument, "Siva Temple, Thiruverumbur", for the proposed construction.

Case No.:03

(The Executive Officer, Erumbeeswarar Temple, the Executive Officer Arulmigu Erumbeeswarar Temple Thiruverumbur, Trichy, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the Superintending Archaeologist, ASI Trichy Circle to carry out a joint inspection with the applicant to explore a suitable alternative site beyond the prohibited area of the Centrally Protected Monument, "Siva Temple, Thiruverumbur", for the proposed construction.

Case No.:04

(The Executive Officer, Erumbeeswarar Temple, The Executive Officer Arulmigu Erumbeeswarar Temple Thiruverumbur, Trichy, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the Superintending Archaeologist, ASI Trichy Circle to carry out a joint inspection with the applicant to explore a suitable alternative site beyond the prohibited area of the Centrally Protected Monument, "Siva Temple, Thiruverumbur", for the proposed construction.

Case No.:05

(The Executive Officer, Erumbeeswarar Temple, The Executive Officer Arulmigu Erumbeeswarar Temple Thiruverumbur, Trichy, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the Superintending Archaeologist, ASI Trichy Circle to carry out a joint inspection with the applicant to explore a suitable alternative site beyond the prohibited area of the Centrally Protected Monument, "Siva Temple, Thiruverumbur", for the proposed construction.

Case No.:06

(Shri Ratanchand B. Jain, Shri Jitendra Jain, Smt. Hema Jain, Door No: 38, Thatha Muthiyappan Street 1st Floor Front Side Sowcarpet Chennai, Tamil Nadu- 600001)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the applicant to restrict the maximum height upto 15.00 Mtrs. (Inclusive all) for the proposed construction and re-submit the application along with revised building plan through Competent Authority, Tamil Nadu, accordingly.

Case No.:07

(Shri V. Murali, Shri T. Sundarganesh, Shri V.S. Ramesh Kumar, Door No. 26, Muthanna Nagar, South Vellore Town, Vellore Taluk, Vellore District, Tamil Nadu- 632001)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the applicant to restrict to one basement with depth not exceeding 04.00 mtrs, instead of two basements proposed with a maximum height of the building at 14.50 Mtrs. (Inclusive all) for the proposed construction and re-submit the application along with revised building plan through Competent Authority, Tamil Nadu, accordingly.

Case No. 8

(Executive Engineer - Zone-6, Development Authority, Pradhikaran Bhavan-Vipin Khand Gomti Nagar, Lucknow- 226010)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case with the following observations:-

a) The application has been submitted by Executive Engineer- Zone-6, Lucknow Development Authority, whereas Self-declaration has been signed by Shri Navneet Kumar Sharma. The Authorization letter from Lucknow Development Authority for applying on their behalf is not enclosed.

b) The proposed construction site address was not mentioned in the building Plan.

The applicant may re-submit the revised proposal through Competent Authority, Lucknow after complying with the above observations.

Case no. 9

(Executive Engineer - Zone-7, Development Authority, Pradhikaran Bhavan-Vipin Khand Gomti Nagar, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case with the following observations:-

a) The applicant to submit Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) report, preferably done through a Notified Heritage Expert Body of NMA.
b) The proposed construction is for "elevated road" whereas proposal submitted is for the construction of flyover/bridge. The same may be amended accordingly and the proposed work should be clearly earmarked in site plan.

The applicant may re-submit the revised proposal alongwith HIA report through Competent Authority, Lucknow after complying with the above observations.

Case No. 10

(Shri Rishav Mandal, Present Address - C/o Ajay Kumar Mandal, Yaduvanshi Nagar, Digha, Dinapur Cum Khagaul, Patna Bihar, 800011, Permanent Address - UIT Office , Bharatpur-321001)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the Superintending Archaeologist, ASI Jaipur Circle to carry out a joint inspection with the applicant in order to re-verify the distance from the protected limit of the Centrally Protected Monument "Fortwalls including Chowburja Gate and approach bridges at Chowburaja and Ashtadhatu Gate" to the proposed site of execution of public utility works.

Case no. 11

(Shri Hiranand Chatwani S/o Shri Kodumal, 27, Saket Nagar, Sanwer Road, Ujjain, District- Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the Superintending Archaeologist, ASI Bhopal Circle to re-verify the distance from the protected limit of the Centrally Protected Monument, "Ancient Mounds, Vaishya Tekri", to proposed construction site.

Case No.12

(Sub Inspector Police Communications, Sub Inspector Police Communications, Superintendent of Police Office Puttaparthy, Shri Sathya Sai District, Andhra Pradesh- 515134)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the Superintending Archaeologist, ASI Amravati Circle to carry out a joint inspection with the applicant to explore a suitable alternative site beyond the prohibited area of the Centrally Protected Monument, "Penukonda hill port", for the proposed construction.

Case No.:13

(Praveg Adalaj Tourism Infrastructure Private Limited, 214, Athena Avenue, Near Eulogia Hotel, Gota, Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 382481)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the applicant to shift all works having a basement of the proposed project beyond the Regulated Area i.e. beyond 300 Mtrs. from the protected limit of the Centrally Protected Monument (CPM) i.e. "Rudabai Stepwell with Inscription (Subterranean Structure)", having regard to mitigation of adverse impact on the structural, safety of the subterranean CPM. The applicant may re-submit the revised proposal alongwith through Competent Authority, Gujrat.

Case No.:14

(Shri Pritam N. Raut, Estate Officer, Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation, Estate Department, 3rd floor, "B" Building, Sardar Patel Bhavan, Danapith, Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 380001)

After perusal of the application and the presentation made by the applicant, the Authority decided to **send back** the case asking the applicant to restrict of all the proposed GF+5 floors buildings to 19.50 Mtrs. (Inclusive all) and re-submit the application along with revised building plan through Competent Authority, Gujarat, accordingly.